1 Carolyn Bolin Et Al 36310 Lenwood Road 2 Hinkley, California 92347 3 Unsecured Creditor In Pro Se 4 5 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 8 9 Case No.:3:19-bk-30088 (Lead Case) In re: 10 Chapter 11 11 Case No.:3:19-bk-30089 PG&E CORPORATION, Chapter 11 12 **UNSECURED CREDITOR CLAIM NO. 7199** Debtor. 13 In re: NOTICE THAT THIS CREDITOR WILL 14 RECOVER ANY JUDGEMENT OBTAINED PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC IN ANY OTHER VENUE, THROUGH THE 15 COMPANY, CLAIM PROCESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH 16 Debtor. THE TERMS OF CONFIRMED PLAN OF Affects PG&E Corporation REORGANIZATION, OR LACK THEREOF, 17 OR DEFICIENT, NOT AGREED UPON BY ALL 18 _x_ Affects Pacific Gas and CREDITORS, CONSTRUED AS UNRESOLVED AND OUTSTANDING CLAIMS; **Electric Company** 19 THAT THIS LIFT OF AUTOMATIC STAY 20 CANNOT PRECLUDE THIS CREDITOR TO Affects Both Debtors. ENFORCE SUBSEQUENTLY OBTAINED All papers shall be filed in the 21 JUDGEMENT AGAINST DEBTOR, DUE TO Lead Case No. 18-30088 (DM) 22 PREVIOUSLY, IN THIS COURT, ASSERTED AS "NO" TO ANY PLAN'S TERM AND "NO" 23 TO ANY PLAN OF REORGANIZATION. 24 TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 25 The undersigned Creditor", In Pro Se, hereby notify this Court, that "Everyone has right 26 to be involved in this bankruptcy process – especially individual Creditors, poisoned with 27 Debtor's byproducts", and that this Creditor previously asserted as not agreeable to any plan. 28

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7103 Filed: 05/05/20 Entered: 05/07/20 15:46:26 Page 1 of

This Creditor, strongly believe, that the Hon. Dennis Montali recollects Anderson, et al. v. Pacific Gas and Electric, file BCV 00300. (Judicial Notice).

This Creditor, strongly believe, (another Judicial Notice) that the Hon. Dennis Montali recollects that the Debtor is also a Convicted Felon, due to endless and countless severe and "draconian" in nature gross negligence acts, such as San Bruno Gas Explosion, Fire Victims, and a myriad of others, and obviously recollects one of oldest gross negligence on this planet, being the ongoing murder of innocent inhabitants in the town of Hinkley, California, that is now almost 70 years in complaints, and all of these draconian acts, since 1992 and subsequently since 2013 to present, that were evidenced by the massive cover-up on this planet by the State of California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, should bring light to the end of the tunnel. Well, partially, but better than nothing at all.

This Creditor noticed the difference between the artful and thwarted language as to what the Debtor asserted (quote): "....on the condition that such grant of relief from stay does not permit the Hinkley Movants to enforce any judgment..." they might obtain against the Utility, versus what the Hon. Dennis Montali, Presiding Judge asserted (quote): "This order does not permit Movants to enforce any judgment they might obtain against Debtors during the pendency of this case." There is a huge difference, "enforce any judgement at any time, versus "enforce judgment during the pendency of this case, A "draconian" Chapter 11 Case. Thank you Honorable Dennis Montali, Presiding.

As always, this Debtor have attempted to inject this Debtor mouth into Judges' mouths, just name the Judge, initially in the mouths of the confused by this Debtor judges in the U.S. District Court Central District of California – Riverside, causing the Judges at the District Court to improperly dismiss all Plaintiffs' Complaints, now in the Ninth Circuit, by throwing out of the doors of that Court all of Plaintiff's Complaints, not bases on any true, genuine and uncontroverted evidence, but on "fake narrative" injected by the unscrupulous counsels representing the Defendant - this Debtor, and most definitely will again notoriously brainwash Ninth Circuit Court three judges, and inject Defendant's (this Debtor) mouth into the panel of the three judges. Count on it. Never ending "saga". Judgement day is soon.

ase: 19-30088 Doc# 7103 Filed: 05/05/20 2Entered: 05/07/20 15:46:26 Page 2 of

To that end, not only Creditor's attorneys for other victims, but Creditors In Pro Se, commonly provide information as to pros and cons of any plan to ensure that votes are made with all information having been considered. However, courts should not allow a party to circumvent the system by proposing or suggesting multiple plans, and conditions to stipulation, including but not limited to conditions which effectively deprives this Creditor to due process, not only in this Court, but in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and on remand this Creditor will be disenfranchised from voting on any plan, which has neither gained court approval nor been subject to adequate disclosure.

Not to be dissuaded, Debtor has refashioned not only his disdain for Debtors' Plan by not providing the full picture to this Court, nothing is further from the truth. In fact, Debtor may be the party providing inaccurate information in an attempt to garner support for a "plan" that is not court-approved and likely subject to sanctions under the very authority cited. Section § 1125(b) provides once a disclosure statement has been approved by a bankruptcy court and transmitted to creditors along with a plan or reorganizations, plan acceptances or rejections can be solicited. 11 U.S.C. §1125(b).

A soliciting party, not limited to this Creditor, may without prior court approval—(1) offer a narrative, evidence, conclusions, or opinions contrary to that enunciated in the plan or disclosure statement; (2) assert positions, evidence, conclusions, or opinions of relevant matters which are not contained in the plan or court-approved disclosure statement; or (3) offer evidence or opinions of an alternative liquidation analysis, since the debtors have a liquidation analysis as part of their disclosure statement. In re Apex Oil Co., 111 B.R. 245, 249 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 1990).

CONCLUSION

For all of the reasons above, which are not exclusive, unless massive Volume are place before the bench, and since this Creditor-Plaintiff-Victim of Crime, assertions were ignored and all objection to confirmation, and as to any plan to be confirmed, ware not included, nor any voting was ever offered by this Court, this Creditor must not ever being adjudicated as bankrupt and out of bankruptcy, until resolves all claims by all Creditors.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7103 Filed: 05/05/20 3 Entered: 05/07/20 15:46:26 Page 3 of

| 1 | Dated: | MAY 0 2 2020 | Respectfully submitted, |
|-----|--------|--------------|-------------------------|
| 2 | | | By: Carolyn Kol |
| 3 4 | | | By: Clary Bolin |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 |] | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7103 Filed: 05/05/20 Entered: 05/07/20 15:46:26 Page 4 of

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: P.O. Box HD, Barstow, CA 92311

| A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (speci- OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER VENUE, THROUGH THE CLAIM PROCES | ify): NOTICE THAT THIS CREDITOR WILL RECOVER ANY JUDGEME |
|--|--|
| REORGANIZATIONOR LACKOR DEFICIENTBEING UNRESOLVED CLAIMS AN | ID THAT THIS CREDITOR LIFT OF ADJITOMATIC STAY CANNOT DOS |
| THIS CREDITOR TO ENFORCE JUDGEMENT AGAINST THE DEBTOR, DUE TO | PREVIOUSLY ASSERTED "NO" TO ANY PLAN'S TERM AND NO TO A |
| will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the the manner stated below: | form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in |
| | |
| 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRO | ONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General |
| Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the co | Iptov case or adversary proceeding and determined that |
| the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to recibelow: | eive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated |
| Delow, | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Service information continued on attached page |
| 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: | |
| On (date) 05/ 02/2020, I served the following persons and/case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy to | or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy |
| first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the | ludge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the |
| judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document | is filed. |
| KELLER BENVENUTTI 650 California Street, Suite 1900, San Francisco | co, California 94108, and to the Presiding Judge: Hon. |
| Dennis Montali United States Bankruptcy Court For The Northern District Avenue, 16 th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102 | ct Of California, San Francisco Division 450 Golden Gate |
| , | |
| | Service information continued on attached page |
| 3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FA | ACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OF EMAIL (state weatherd |
| ior each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or | controlling LBR, on (date) Lserved |
| the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight | ht mail service, or /for those who consented in writing to |
| such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as for that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be confiled</u> | Drows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration mpleted no later than 24 hours after the document is |
| filed. | The state of the document is |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Service information continued on attached page |
| I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United Stat | · · |
| | A A A A |
| 05/ 02/2020 Shirley Holcroft Date Printed Name | - Shuly Holoryt |
| Timed Name | Signature |
| | |
| | |

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

June 2012

F 9013-3.1.PROOF.SERVICE